

Date: Thu, 8 Apr 93 04:30:34 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #88  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Thu, 8 Apr 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    88

Today's Topics:

                                    AM vs CW  
                            ARRL ftp Access???  
            Just waiting the OFs out (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.  
-----

Date: 7 Apr 1993 16:41:35 -0700  
From: world!eff!ssd.intel.com!ichips!ornews.intel.com!ornews.intel.com!not-for-  
mail@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: AM vs CW  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

We can compromise on this issue and start using A2 emissions.  
This should keep everybody happy.

WA7LDV

-----  
Date: Thu, 8 Apr 1993 07:53:30 GMT  
From: ulowell!woods.ulowell.edu!murphyed1@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: ARRL ftp Access???  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Does the ARRL have internet access for it's bulletins, or do we have to wait  
for the transmissions from CT?

Ed...

New Ham -- N10QF

p.s. I have not yet found an acronym for N10QF, if anyone can help with something that is clean for this I would appreciate it...

E.G. N1ICB = Nursing one Ice Cold Beer.

TNX - Rob

```
--
===== Ed Murphy      (The University disclaims everything!)  --- | /  ----
|      Internet Mail :: Murphyed1@woods.ulowell.edu           /|\ |/   \
===== "Warning, Phi Kap's May Be Habit Forming!!!"          \|\ | \   /
|      Groundmail (The Slow Way) 1 University Ave. Box 1136  --- | \  ----
===                                           Lowell, Ma. 01854 U.S.A.   Phi Kappa Sigma
                                           Gamma Upsilon Chapter
```

Ham Radio -- N10QF

-----  
Date: 7 Apr 93 21:24:56 GMT  
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu  
Subject: Just waiting the OFs out  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Gary sez:

I noted with some frustration in the "World Above 50 MHz" column that computer assisted contacts won't be counted for record status.

I say:

Just like 97.113 there is a difficult line to draw here: what about my DSP filter and noise canceller ... you mean if I attach it to an audio amp and listen to the output then this record counts but if I write a little bit of code to envelope detect the output and stick it into my Mac it doesn't. What happens if I use the output of the envelope detector to modulate an audio oscillator and listen to that .... what about coherent CW?

Its a continuum at which an arbitrary line has been drawn by people who can't see whne a challenge lies in the doing of something or in the description of the doing of something. The advancement of ham radio lies in the latter today (though it lay with the formaer in the past).

Kevin Purcell N7WIM / G8UDP  
a-kevinp@microsoft.com

"We conjure the spirits of the computer with our spells"

-----

Date: 6 Apr 93 08:10:08 EDT  
From: sun-barr!lll-winken.llnl.gov!fastrac.llnl.gov!wsrcc.com!wetware!  
spunky.RedBrick.COM!psinntp!psinntp!arrl.org@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: Just waiting the OFs out  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In rec.radio.amateur.policy, gary@ke4zv.uucp (Gary Coffman) writes:

>

>I noted with some frustration in the "World Above 50 MHz" column  
>that computer assisted contacts won't be counted for record status.  
>Thus the weak signal boys have become as stylized and ossified as  
>the rest of the CW forever crowd. Weak signal users can no longer  
>claim they are advancing the art when they refuse to recognize the  
>greatest improvement in the art available to them.

page 89 April 1993 QST

... Finally, it has long been assumed that contacts are made  
by human operators in real time. CW, SSB and FM are commonly  
used, but other transmission modes that require a human presence  
to decode and to acknowledge received information are also acceptable.  
We will grapple with the potential problem of packet and other unattended  
means of transmitting data only if they become an issue.

I don't see where it says computer assisted records won't be  
counted. If someone sets meaningful records with automated  
equipment, I think they should be listed, though separately,  
much like the records for climbing Mount Washington (running,  
bicycling, automobile...).

But, why should someone get listed for a 75 km packet QSO on  
3456 MHz, even if that is indeed the record with an automated  
system on that band?

Is anyone up to making it an issue by setting meaningful  
records with advanced technology? Most of the records  
are under 4000 km.

Zack Lau KH6CP/1

Internet: zlau@arrl.org "Working" on 24 GHz SSB/CW gear  
Operating Interests: 10 GHz CW/SSB/FM

US Mail: c/o ARRL Lab 80/40/20 CW  
225 Main Street Station capability: QRP, 1.8 MHz to 10 GHz  
Newington CT 06111 modes: CW/SSB/FM/packet

amtor/baudot

Phone (if you really have to): 203-666-1541

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #88

\*\*\*\*\*